

# Sales Tax Committee Agenda

## September 27, 2012

4:00 p.m.

Leon County LeRoy Collins Main Library

**First Floor Program Room**

200 West Park Avenue

Item #	Agenda Topic
1.	Consideration of the City, County, Economic Development Council, and Community Partners Proposal for Economic Development Portion of the Local Government Infrastructure Surtax
2.	Citizens to be Heard
3.	Approval of Summary Minutes for the August 23, 2012 Meeting of the Leon County Sales Tax Committee
4.	Preliminary Discussion Regarding the Decision Making Process for Project Evaluation
5.	Additional Project Identification by Committee Members

### **Next Meeting Date:**

When: *Thursday, October 11, 2012*

Where: First Floor Program Room, Leon County LeRoy Collins Main Library

Time: 4:00 p.m.

Main Discussion Topic: Presentation on County Infrastructure Projects for Sales Tax Committee Consideration

# **Sales Tax Committee Meeting**

## **Summary Minutes**

### **August 23, 2012**

**Meeting began at 4:08 pm**

*Absent members:* *Mr. Terrance Hinson and Mr. Mark Tarmey were absent from the meeting.*

#### **Agenda Item #1: Citizens to be Heard**

Ms. Pam Hall addressed the Committee regarding Agenda Item #5. She suggested that modifications be made to the ranking scale. Additionally, Ms. Hall addressed the Committee on a proposed Waste Water Management project in the unincorporated areas of Leon County. Ms. Hall wanted nitrogen reduction to be considered in any Woodville projects.

Ms. Debbie Lightsey addressed the Committee on behalf of the Wakulla Springs Alliance. Ms. Lightsey spoke of water management in Leon County and nitrogen's impact on the Springs. Ms. Lightsey noted that central sewer is not the answer since it's very expensive and one side does not fit all.

#### **Agenda Item #2: Approval of Summary Minutes for the May 24, 2012 Meeting of the Sales Tax Committee and Open House**

Mr. Todd Sperry made a motion to approve the summary minutes for the May 24, 2012 meeting of the Sales Tax Committee. The motion was seconded by Mr. Henree Martin. The motion passed unanimously.

#### **Agenda Item #3: *Requested Information from the Committee:* Consideration of the Amended Bylaws and the Revised Committee Meeting Location and Times**

The Chairman introduced the proposed Bylaw amendments to extend Committee meeting lengths to a max of 4 hours and to hold all future meetings at the Leon County LeRoy Collins Main Library.

Several Committee members wanted clarification that the meetings would not be regularly scheduled for all four hours but that the full four hours would only be used if needed. Committee members also questioned why the Committee was constraining itself to meet only at the library or for certain period of time instead of as needed.

Mr. Todd Sperry moved to approve the bylaws with the following modifications, to remove the location and meeting frequency restraints, and Mr. Kim Williams seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

*Attached are the revised bylaws as approved by the Committee during the August 23, 2012 meeting.*

**Agenda Item #4: Additional Project Identification by Committee Members**

Committee members were given an option to weigh in on project identification

Mr. Curtis Richardson expressed a desire for a project that addresses the widening of Orange Avenue (from Wahnish Way to Capitol Circle SW) to be added to the list of projects. Mr. Wayne Tedder, PLACE Director, noted that that Orange Avenue, from Wahnish Way to Capital Circle SW, was a State Road. The project was placed on the list for future consideration.

Mr. William Peeples brought up the issue of the City placing utility lines underground, citing this as an economic development issue. The project was placed on the list for future consideration.

Ms. Kim Rivers noted that Blue Print 2000 came out with a signature project that tied all the projects together. The project was placed on the list for future consideration.

**Agenda Item #5: Preliminary Discussion Regarding the Decision Making Process for Project Evaluation**

Mr. Rosenzweig introduced the proposed decision making process for project evaluation.

Mr. Townsend mentioned that the criteria will be used to demonstrate what the project encompasses. The Committee is not restricted to the listed to the criteria shown; it is just a starting point for conversation. The Committee may choose to add and/or delete criteria.

Mr. Rosenzweig stated that staff would evaluate the proposed projects brought forth by residents. He noted that there is a point in the process (step 7) where staff will estimate the costs for each project. Mr. Rosenzweig then referred the Committee to Exhibit B in Attachment #1 to address Step 5: Refinement Process. At this step, the Committee would narrow down the project list. Step 5 is an opportunity for the Committee to vote on projects that the Committee really wants to pursue and eliminate those they do not wish to consider. Mr. Rosenzweig noted that project cost estimates would be done in current dollars with no inflation on revenue or expenses.

Several Committee members asked about economic development. Mr. Rosenzweig noted that the Committee would have to determine what percentage is allocated to economic development is outside of infrastructure improvements.

The Committee voted to continue the discussion after hearing the Economic Development presentation under Agenda item #6.

*Note: Due to the time constraints this item will be discussed during the September 27<sup>th</sup> meeting.*

**Agenda Item #6: Consideration of the City, County, Economic Development Council, and Community Partners Proposal for Economic Development Portion of the Local Government Infrastructure Surtax**

The presentation was a combined view of the City, County, and Economic Development Council on how to address Economic Development. Beth Kirkland, Executive Director of the Tallahassee/Leon County Economic Development Council, presented on the public-private model of economic development, what economic development has occurred in the area and discussed some missed opportunities.

Michael Parker, Director of Economic and Community Development for the City presented on the idea of sustainable economic development, and how to turn Sales Tax Proceeds for economic development into potential revenue for economic development.

Ken Morris, Director of Economic Development and Business Partnerships for the County, presented on the strengths of the proposal, and further explained the proposed economic development structure.

Prior to the conclusion of the presentation, Committee began to discuss issues related to the current structure of the Economic Development. The Committee decided to invite back Mr. Morris, Mr. Parker, and Ms. Kirkland to conclude their presentation during the September 27, 2012 meeting.

**Other Committee Business:**

The Committee expressed concerns about being behind schedule.

Ms. Rivers motioned to add an additional meeting on October 11 at the Main Library at 4pm. The motion was seconded by Ms. Henry. The motion passed unanimously.

The Committee's next meeting will be held on Thursday, October 11, 2012 in the First Floor Program Room of the Main Library at 4pm. During this meeting, the County and City will begin their presentations on infrastructure projects.

Meeting adjourned at 7:04 pm.

**AMENDED BYLAWS OF THE**  
**Leon County Sales Tax Committee**

In order to govern its function and operation in a manner consistent with the Enabling Resolution No. 11-58 adopted by the Leon County Board of County Commissioners (hereinafter the "BCC") on 13<sup>th</sup> day of September 2011, the Leon County Sales Tax Committee (hereinafter the "Committee") hereby amends its Bylaws, originally adopted on January 26, 2012, to reflect the revisions as shown in legislative format hereinbelow, and adopts as its Amended Bylaws the following:

**ARTICLE I.**  
**APPLICABLE FLORIDA LAWS AND BCC POLICIES**

**Section 1.1    Public Records Law and E-Mails:** Each member of the Committee shall comply with the Florida's Public Records Law, Chapter 119, Florida Statutes, and BCC Policy 96-4, "Policy on Public Records Law and E-Mail", as may be amended from time to time, and each member of the Committee shall be provided a copy of BCC Policy 96-4.

**Section 1.2    Government In the Sunshine Law:** Each member of the Committee shall comply with the Florida Government in the Sunshine Law, Chapter 286, Florida Statutes, as may be amended from time to time.

**Section 1.3    Code of Ethics:** The Committee shall comply with the following state laws and BCC Policies with regard to the Florida Code of Ethics for Public Officers and Employees:

**Clause 1.3.1** Each member of the Committee shall comply with Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes, "Voting Conflicts", as may be amended from time to time, and shall be provided a copy of Section 112.3143.

**Clause 1.3.2** Each member of the Committee shall abide by the Standards of Conduct set forth in Section 112.313, Florida Statutes, as may be amended from time to time, and shall be provided a copy of Section 112.313, Florida Statutes.

**ARTICLE II.**  
**OFFICERS AND DUTIES**

**Section 2.1** Immediately upon adoption of these Bylaws, the Committee shall elect from among its members a Chairperson and a Vice-Chairperson, each of whom shall serve until the dissolution of the Committee.

**Section 2.2** The Chairperson shall preside at all meetings. In the event of the Chairperson's absence, or at the direction of the Chairperson, the Vice-Chairperson shall assume the powers and duties of the Chairperson.

**Section 2.3** In the event that either the Chairperson or the Vice-Chairperson is unable to complete their terms, the Committee shall, as soon as reasonably possible, elect a replacement from among its members.

### ARTICLE III. TERM OF MEMBERS

**Section 3.1** Each member shall serve on the Committee until the dissolution of the Committee.

### ARTICLE IV. MEETINGS

**Section 4.1 Regular Meetings:** The Committee shall hold regular meetings at a place and time agreeable to the members. ~~Such regular meetings shall begin at 4:00 p.m. on the fourth Thursday of each month and be held at the Renaissance Center Second Floor Conference Room unless an alternate time and location is selected by the Committee.~~ Such regular meetings shall be held at least once a month. The meeting will begin at 4:00 p.m. at a location to be determined unless an alternate time and location is selected by the Committee. The duration of meetings shall not exceed ~~two~~ four hours unless extended by a majority vote of the Committee. In order to expedite meetings, the Chairperson may place time limits on discussion of agenda items.

**Section 4.2 Special Meetings:** The Chairperson may call a special meeting of the Committee to discuss any issue properly before the Committee. Such special meeting may be convened only after notification is given to each member of the Committee and after public notice is given no later than forty-eight (48) hours before the special meeting is scheduled to begin.

**Section 4.3 Public Participation:** Although all meetings of the Committee will be open to the public, the Committee is not obligated to honor requests from the public to participate in the meetings. The Committee may, by majority vote, allow limited participation by the public if it deems it appropriate.

**Section 4.4 Meeting Agendas:** The County Staff Support Person shall assist the Chairperson of the Committee in developing an agenda for each meeting of the Committee. Any member of the Committee may request that appropriate items be placed on the agenda.

**Section 4.5 Official Acts and Quorum:** Any and all official acts by the Committee shall require a majority vote of the members present. However, the Committee shall take no such action unless a quorum is present at the meeting. In order to constitute a quorum, there must be a majority of the Committee's current membership present at the meeting. The minutes of the meeting shall reflect the number of affirmative votes on a motion and shall specify the names of any members voting against the motion.

**Section 4.6 Meeting Minutes:** Minutes shall be taken at all regular and special meetings of the Committee. The County Staff Support Person shall assist the Chairperson in determining the manner in which the minutes of the meeting shall be prepared and filed with the County in accordance with BCC Policy No. 03-15, "Board-Appointed Advisory Committees".

**Section 4.7 Procedure:** Roberts' Rules of Order Revised shall govern the procedure of all meetings.

**ARTICLE V.  
AMENDMENTS TO BYLAWS**

**Section 5.1    Amendments:** At any regular or special meeting of the Committee, these Bylaws may be amended by an affirmative vote of a supermajority (two-thirds) of the members present at the meeting.

**Section 5.2    Approval:** The Amended Bylaws shall become effective upon the approval of the County Attorney as to the legality of the form and content of such amendment.

**ARTICLE VI.  
ATTENDANCE AND REPLACEMENT OF MEMBERS**

**Section 6.1    Attendance at Meetings:** In the event a member is absent from two of three successive regular meetings of the Committee, the Chairperson shall notify the entity which appointed the member and request that a replacement be made. For the purposes of this Section, a member must be present to be considered 'in attendance' and will be considered absent if he/she is absent from more than half the duration of the meeting. Telephonic participation is not allowed.

**Section 6.2    Replacement of Members:** In the event a vacancy occurs in the membership of the Committee, either through dismissal, voluntary termination, or other means, the entity which appointed the member shall determine whether the vacancy should be filled. If it is determined that the vacancy will be filled, a new member shall be appointed as soon as reasonably possible in accordance with the procedure for appointment of members to advisory committees contained in BCC Policy No. 03-15 "Board-Appointed Advisory Committees".

Approved As To Legality of Form and Content:

County Attorney's Office

BY 

Herbert W. A. Thiele  
County Attorney

# Sales Tax Committee

## ***Summary of the Proposed Project Evaluation and Decision Making Process***

*Note: Below is an overview of the steps for the proposed Project Evaluation and Decision Making Process. The dates below are tentative and subject to change.*

### September 27, 2012 Meeting

**Step 1:** Approve Project Evaluation and Decision Making Process: Steps #2 – Step #10 (*Supermajority vote – 2/3 of the membership*)

**Step 2:** Presentation and Discussion on the Proposed Framework for Sustainable Economic Development

### October 11, 2012 Meeting

**Step 3:** Presentation on the County and City Infrastructure Projects for the Sales Tax Committee Consideration

### October 25, 2012 Meeting

**Step 3:** (continued) Presentation on the County and City Infrastructure Projects for the Sales Tax Committee Consideration

**Step 4:** Establish and Finalize the Criteria for ‘Blueprint Infrastructure’ Project Evaluation (*Supermajority vote*)

### November 15, 2012 Meeting

Open House Night

### December 13, 2012 Meeting

**Step 5:** Economic Development and Determine the Preliminary Percentage for Economic Development (*Supermajority vote*)

**Step 6:** Establish Preliminary County/City Infrastructure Split

**Step 7:** ‘Blueprint Infrastructure’ Project Refinement (*Individual Prioritization*)

### January 24, 2013 Meeting

**Step 8:** ‘Blueprint Infrastructure’ Project Ranking (*Individual Prioritization*)

### February 28, 2013 Meeting

**Step 9:** ‘Blueprint Infrastructure’ Project Consideration and Ranking (*Supermajority vote*)

**Step 10:** Length of Sales Tax Extension (*Supermajority vote*)

### For the Committee’s Consideration after the February 28th, 2013 Meeting

- Additional Deliberations on Economic Development Framework and County/City Infrastructure Split (if necessary)
- Additional Deliberations on ‘Blueprint Infrastructure’ Project Rankings (if necessary)
- Open House Night
- Discussion on the Final Recommendations to the County/City Commissions



## **Sales Tax Committee**

### ***Proposed Project Evaluation and Decision Making Process***

**Step 1: Approve Project Evaluation and Decision Making Process** *(Supermajority vote – 2/3 of the membership)*

The process must be approved by a supermajority vote – similar to votes taken during the Charter Review Committee process.

**Step 2: Presentation and Discussion on the Proposed Framework for Sustainable Economic Development**

**Step 3: Presentation on the County and City Infrastructure Projects for the Sales Tax Committee Consideration**

**Step 4: Establish Criteria for ‘Blueprint Infrastructure’ Project Evaluation** *(Supermajority vote)*

Staff recommends that the Committee develop a list of criteria to show how many of the ‘Blueprint Infrastructure’ projects offer a multi-use purpose in the community. The criteria should include measures that demonstrate how a project preserves and protects the community's quality of life, as well as supports and advances existing initiatives and policies regarding planning, transportation, water quality, environmental, and green space.

An example of the criteria that may be used to evaluate the ‘Blueprint Infrastructure’ projects is as follows (see Exhibit A for the criteria definitions):

- Complements BP2000 Project(s)
- Comprehensive Plan
- Regional Mobility
- Greenway Master Plan
- Connectivity
- Core Infrastructure
- Water Quality Enhancements and Green Infrastructure
- Stormwater/Sewer Capacity Improvements
- Transportation Capacity Improvements

**Step 5: Consideration of Economic Development** *(Supermajority vote)*

In order to determine the percentage of the sales tax that will be used for infrastructure projects, the Committee will decide what percentage (if any) of the sales tax extension will be set aside to fund economic development.

**Step 5A: Determine the Preliminary Percentage for Economic Development** *(Supermajority vote)*

If the Committee supports the use of funds for economic development, a preliminary percentage will need to be established prior to the start of the project evaluation. Additional deliberations would be scheduled for the Committee's March 2013 meeting. The Committee may choose to modify the percentage rate at a later date by supermajority vote.

**Step 6: Establish Preliminary County/City Infrastructure Split (*Supermajority vote*)**

In order to determine the percentage of the sales tax that will be used for specific County/City infrastructure projects, the Committee will decide what percentage (if any) of the sales tax extension will be set aside to fund County/City infrastructure projects.

**Step 6A:** If the Committee supports the use of funds for County/City infrastructure, a preliminary rate should be established prior to the start of the project evaluation. Additional deliberations would be scheduled for the Committee's March 2013 meeting. The Committee may modify the percentage at a later date by supermajority vote.

**Step 7: 'Blueprint Infrastructure' Project Refinement (*Individual Prioritization*)**

Each individual Committee member will identify a subset of projects from the cumulative project list to move forward to the project evaluation process. Each Committee member will be given a list of the proposed projects and set amount of 'yes' votes, approximately 80% of the total number of projects. For example, if there are 30 projects, each member will receive 24 'yes' votes (Exhibit B is the template). Any projects receiving less than 10 votes (less than a majority of the 18 appointed members) will be eliminated.

The Committee may add or delete projects from the list with a supermajority vote. Staff will evaluate the projects on the list based on the criteria approved by the Committee during the September meeting.

Staff recommends that the Committee consider inviting groups to the Open House to provide additional information on projects that are being considered by the Committee.

**Step 8: 'Blueprint Infrastructure' Project Ranking (*Individual Prioritization*)**

Staff will provide the Committee with project information based on the criteria established at the September meeting. The Committee will have an opportunity to discuss the projects before individually ranking all of the projects in order of preference at the conclusion of the meeting (Exhibit C is the template). The Committee may choose to add, delete, or reorder a project based on a supermajority vote.

Prior to the meeting, staff will estimate the costs for each project.

**Step 9: 'Blueprint Infrastructure' Project Consideration and Ranking (*Supermajority vote*)**

Staff will present the projects as ranked by the Committee in two tiers to illustrate the projects that can be funded based on a 15-year sales tax extension and a 20-year sales tax extension (Exhibit D is the template). The Committee may choose to add, delete, or reorder a project based on a supermajority vote.

**Step 10: Length of Sales Tax Extension (*Supermajority vote*)**

The Committee will also consider the length of the Sales Tax Extension during this meeting to determine the amount of revenue available for the community projects.

**Additional Items for the Committee's Consideration in Early 2013**

- **Additional Deliberations on Economic Development Framework and County/City Infrastructure Split (if necessary)**
- **Additional Deliberations on 'Blueprint Infrastructure' Project Rankings (if necessary)**
- **Open House Night**
- **Discussion on the Final Recommendations to the County/City Commissions**

## **Criteria Definitions for Proposed Projects for the Sales Tax Extension**

- **Comprehensive Plan:** The project supports one or more elements/goals of the Comprehensive Plan, which is the long-range statement of how and where growth and development in the community is to be located in the future. The elements of the plan relate to the social, economic, and physical aspects of future development. Each of the elements includes a number of goals, objectives, and policies that are to be applied to public and private land development. In addition, the Plan identifies mobility projects that will significantly benefit the overall transportation network.
- **Regional Mobility:** The project supports one or more goals of the Capital Regional Transportation Authority's regional mobility plan, which creates an integrated regional multimodal transportation network that provides the most options for moving people and goods economically, effectively and safely while protecting the environment, promoting economic development and maintaining a high quality of life with sustainable development patterns.
- **Greenway Master Plan:** The project supports one or more goals of the current master greenway plan, which is a long-term vision for the local greenway system that incorporates a greenway trail network designed to provide increased connectivity between greenways, parks, and other public-access conservation areas.
- **Connectivity:** The project links current amenities, neighborhoods, and/or multi-modal nodes through sidewalks, multi-use paths, bike lanes, etc.
- **Complements BP2000 Project(s):** The project enhances and/or connects to a Blueprint 2000 project.
- **Water Quality Enhancements and Green Infrastructure:** The project acquires/enhances land for water quality, public recreation, conservation, or protection of natural resources that emphasizes integration of different functions on the same piece of land to support long-term sustainability.
- **Stormwater/Sewer Capacity Improvements:** The project increases the current level of service for stormwater runoff and sewer.
- **Transportation Capacity Improvements:** The project increases the current level of services of transportation (i.e. additional lanes, intersection improvements, etc). The level of service of a roadway indicates the capacity per unit of demand for the roadway. Below are the rankings used to indicate the degree of service:
  - **A** - Represents free flow. Individual users are virtually unaffected by the presence of others in the traffic stream.
  - **B** - Is in the range of stable flow, but the presence of other users in the traffic stream begins to be noticeable.
  - **C** - Is in the range of stable flow, but marks the beginning of the range of flow in which the operation of individual users becomes significantly affected by interactions with others in the traffic stream.
  - **D** - Represents high density, but stable flow. Speed and freedom to maneuver are severely restricted.
  - **E** - Represents operating conditions at or near the capacity level. All speeds are reduced. Freedom to maneuver within the traffic stream is extremely difficult.
- **Core Infrastructure:** The project is any fixed capital asset associated with the construction, reconstruction, or improved public facility with a life expectancy of five years or more as well any related land acquisition, land improvement, design, and engineering costs (such as sidewalks, road improvements, stormwater/sewer improvements, public facilities, recreational areas, etc). In addition, core infrastructure includes construction, lease, or maintenance of, or provision of utilities or security for, facilities, of court-related functions pursuant to Florida Statutes 29.008.

**Sales Tax Infrastructure Projects  
Step 5: Refinement Process**

**Directions:** Below is a list of 30 projects for your consideration. Only 24 projects may be selected. Six projects will be left blank. Place a "X" next to the 24 projects you would like to consider for evaluation.

**Committee Member: David Smith**

Project 1

Project 2

Project 3

Project 4

Project 5

Project 6

Project 7

Project 8

Project 9

Project 10

Project 11

Project 12

Project 13

Project 14

Project 15

Project 16

Project 17

Project 18

Project 19

Project 20

Project 21

Project 22

Project 23

Project 24

Project 25

Project 26

Project 27

Project 28

Project 29

Project 30

### Sales Tax Infrastructure Projects Step 5: Refinement Process Results

**Directions:** Below is a list of 30 projects for your consideration. Only 24 projects may be selected. Six projects will be left blank. Place a "X" next to the 24 projects you would like to consider for evaluation.

	Committee Member AA	Committee Member BB	Committee Member CC	Committee Member DD	Committee Member EE	Committee Member FF	Committee Member GG	Committee Member HH	Committee Member II	Committee Member JJ	Committee Member KK	Committee Member LL	Committee Member MM	Committee Member NN	Committee Member OO	Committee Member PP	Committee Member QQ	Committee Member RR	Number of Votes	Percentage of Votes
Project 11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	18	100.0%
Project 13	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	18	100.0%
Project 16	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	18	100.0%
Project 25	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	18	100.0%
Project 27	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	18	100.0%
Project 1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	17	94.4%
Project 8		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	17	94.4%
Project 12	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	17	94.4%
Project 19	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	17	94.4%
Project 23	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	17	94.4%
Project 6	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	17	94.4%
Project 26	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	17	94.4%
Project 5	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	16	88.9%
Project 9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	16	88.9%
Project 22	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	16	88.9%
Project 28		1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	16	88.9%
Project 30	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	16	88.9%
Project 3	1	1	1	1		1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		15	83.3%
Project 4	1		1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	15	83.3%
Project 17			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1		1	15	83.3%
Project 24		1			1		1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1		15	83.3%
Project 2	1			1		1		1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	15	83.3%
Project 15		1			1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	14	77.8%
Project 18		1	1		1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1		14	77.8%
Project 20		1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1				1	13	72.2%
Project 7	1		1	1	1	1	1				1	1	1		1			1	11	61.1%
Project 14	1	1	1		1		1	1	1							1	1	1	10	55.6%
Project 10	1	1	1	1	1	1		1				1	1						9	50.0%
Project 21	1			1	1			1		1					1		1	1	8	44.4%
Project 29	1		1							1		1			1		1		6	33.3%

**Sales Tax Infrastructure Projects  
Step 7: Project Ranking**

**Directions:** Below is a list of 27 projects for your consideration. Three projects were eliminated during the Project Refinement Process. Rank the projects in order of preference with "1" being the project you prefer the most and "27" being the project you prefer the least.

**Committee Member: David Smith**

Project 1

Project 2

Project 3

Project 4

Project 5

Project 6

Project 7

Project 8

Project 9

Project 11

Project 12

Project 13

Project 14

Project 15

Project 16

Project 17

Project 18

Project 19

Project 20

Project 22

Project 23

Project 24

Project 25

Project 26

Project 27

Project 28

Project 30

**Note:** These three projects were eliminate during Step 5.

Project 10

Project 21

Project 29

## Sales Tax Infrastructure Projects

### Step 7: Project Ranking Results

**Directions:** Below is the cumulative ranking of the 27 projects. Three projects were eliminated during the Project Refinement Process.

	Committee Member AA	Committee Member BB	Committee Member CC	Committee Member DD	Committee Member EE	Committee Member FF	Committee Member GG	Committee Member HH	Committee Member II	Committee Member JJ	Committee Member KK	Committee Member LL	Committee Member MM	Committee Member NN	Committee Member OO	Committee Member PP	Committee Member QQ	Committee Member RR	Cumulative Score	Avg. Ranking
Project 11	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	3	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	23	1
Project 13	2	2	2	4	3	2	3	2	2	2	3	4	3	2	2	2	2	2	44	2
Project 16	3	3	3	6	5	4	1	3	1	3	2	6	5	4	3	3	3	3	61	3
Project 25	4	4	4	8	4	3	4	4	4	5	5	8	4	3	4		4	4	87	4
Project 27	5	5	5	10	2	6	5	6	5	4	4	10	2	6	5	5	5	5	105	5
Project 1	6	6	6	1	6	5	6	5	6	7	7	1	6	5	6	6	6	5	96	5
Project 8	10	10	7	3	7	7	7	8	8	6		3		7	10	10	7	8	133	7
Project 12	11	11	8	5	9	9	8	7	7	9	6		9		11	1	8	7	150	8
Project 19	12	12	9	7	8	8	9	9	12	8	9	7		8	12	12	9	9	168	9
Project 5	7	7	11	12	10	10	11	10	9	15	12	7	10	7	7	7	11	10	179	10
Project 6	8	8	12	14	13	12	12	11	8		10	14	13	12	8	8	12	11	200	11
Project 23	13	13	10	9	11	11	10	12	11	1	11	9	11	11	13	13	10	12	201	11
Project 9	9	9	13	16	12	14	13	15		10	1	16	12	14	9	9	13	15	232	13
Project 22	14	14	14	18		13			14		13	18	15	13	14	14	14	14	259	14
Project 28	15	15	15	13	14		15	13	8	16	15	13	14	15	15	15	15	13	259	14
Project 30	16	16	16	15	1	16	16	16	18	15	16	15	16	16	16	16	16	16	287	16
Project 4	18	18	18	11	1	18	18	7	20	18	20	11	17	18	18	18	18	17	310	17
Project 3	17		17				17	18	23	17	17	17	18	17	17	17	17	18	316	18
Project 17		19	19	19	21	20	27	19	21	19	19	19	21	20	19	19	19	19	358	20
Project 24	20	20		21	20	9	20	21	15	24	18	21	20	19	20	20	20	21	359	20
Project 26	21	2	21	22		22	21	20	24	20	21	22	22	22	21	21	21	20	384	21
Project 2	22	22	2		23	21	22	23	16	25	23	20	23	21	22	22	22	23	392	22
Project 15	23	23	23	24	19	23	23	22	17	27	22	24	19	23	23	23	23	22	403	22
Project 18	24	24	24	25	24	24	24	24	22	23	25	25	24	24	24	24	24	24	432	24
Project 20	25	25	25	23	26	25	25	26	25	21	24	23	26	25	25	25	25	26	445	25
Project 14	27	27	27	26	25	27	19	27	27	22	27	26	25	27	27	27	27	27	467	26
Project 7	26	26	26	27	27	26	26	25	26	26	26	27	27	26	26	26	26	25	470	26



## Sales Tax Infrastructure Projects

### Step 8: Project Consideration & Ranking and Step 9: Length of Sales Tax Extension

**Directions:** Below is the cumulative ranking of the 27 projects, as a result of Step 7, along with their estimated costs. The two bold horizontal lines illustrate the amount of sales tax proceeds over a 15-year and 20-year extension relative to the Committee's project rankings in order to complete these 'Blueprint' projects. The Committee may choose to add, delete, or reorder a project based on a supermajority vote.

	Avg. Ranking	Project Cost (millions)	Cumulative Total	
Project 11	1	\$17,300,000.00		
Project 13	2	\$23,000,000.00	\$40,300,000.00	
Project 16	3	\$55,600,000.00	\$95,900,000.00	
Project 25	4	\$20,300,000.00	\$116,200,000.00	
Project 27	5	\$150,070,000.00	\$266,270,000.00	
Project 1	5	\$3,000,000.00	\$269,270,000.00	
Project 8	7	\$10,900,000.00	\$280,170,000.00	
Project 12	8	\$9,000,000.00	\$289,170,000.00	
Project 19	9	\$13,050,000.00	\$302,220,000.00	
Project 5	10	\$12,050,000.00	\$314,270,000.00	15 Years
Project 6	11	\$32,200,000.00	\$346,470,000.00	
Project 23	11	\$5,000,000.00	\$351,470,000.00	
Project 9	13	\$1,100,000.00	\$352,570,000.00	
Project 22	14	\$55,600,000.00	\$408,170,000.00	
Project 28	14	\$90,000.00	\$408,260,000.00	20 Years
Project 30	16	\$23,000,000.00	\$431,260,000.00	
Project 4	17	\$30,200,000.00	\$461,460,000.00	
Project 3	18	\$10,900,000.00	\$472,360,000.00	
Project 17	19	\$9,050,000.00	\$481,410,000.00	
Project 24	20	\$9,000,000.00	\$490,410,000.00	
Project 26	21	\$41,700,000.00	\$532,110,000.00	
Project 2	22	\$13,050,000.00	\$545,160,000.00	
Project 15	22	\$33,200,000.00	\$578,360,000.00	
Project 18	24	\$5,000,000.00	\$583,360,000.00	
Project 20	25	\$10,900,000.00	\$594,260,000.00	
Project 14	26	\$9,050,000.00	\$603,310,000.00	
Project 7	26	\$9,000,000.00	\$612,310,000.00	
<b>\$652,120,000.00</b>				